COMMISSIONERS
DOUG LITTLE - Chairman
BOB STUMP
BOB BURNS
TOM FORESE
ANDY TOBIN





### **ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

May 20, 2016

To: Docket Control

Re: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative - Customer Comments

Docket No. E-01575A-15-0312

Please docket the attached 16 customer comments OPPOSING the above filed case.

Customer comments can be reviewed in docket under the above docket number.

Filed by: Utilities Division - Consumer Services

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

MAY 2 0 2315

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTINUE
2316 MAY 26 PM 3 1

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Trish Meeter Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 5/25/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 131855 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 5/25/2016 11:26 AM

First Name: John Last Name: Motowski Account Name: John Motowski

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Benson State: AZ Zip Code: 85602

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Division: Electric

Inc.

#### **Nature Of Opinion**

**Docket Number: E-01575A-15-0312** 

From: John Motowski [mailto <<< REDACTED >>> ]

Sent: Friday, May 20, 2016 6:13 AM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject new SSVEC fixed fees!

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

Regards,

John Motowski

PO Box 637

Benson, AZ 85602

Investigation

Date: Analyst: Submitted By: Type:

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Trish Meeter Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 5/25/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 131854 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 5/25/2016 11:15 AM

First Name: Suzanne Last Name: Moses Account Name: Suzanne Moses

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Benson State: AZ Zip Code: 85602

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Division: Electric

Inc.

### **Nature Of Opinion**

**Docket Number:** E-01575A-15-0312

From: Suzanne Moses [mailto: <<< REDACTED >>> ]

Sent: Friday, May 20, 2016 6:07 AM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject new SSVEC fixed fees!

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

Regards,

Suzanne Moses

<<< REDACTED >>>

<<< REDACTED >>>

Investigation

Date: Analyst: Submitted By: Type:

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Trish Meeter Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 5/25/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 131852 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 5/25/2016 10:38 AM

First Name: Sandra Last Name: Lisle Account Name: Sandra Lisle

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Willcox State: AZ Zip Code: 85643

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Division: Electric

Inc.

### **Nature Of Opinion**

**Docket Number:** E-01575A-15-0312

From: Sandra Lisle [mailto: <<< REDACTED >>>

Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2016 9:51 PM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject new SSVEC fixed fees!

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

Regards,

Sandra Lisle

<<< REDACTED >>>

<<< REDACTED >>>

Investigation

Date: Analyst: Submitted By: Type:

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Trish Meeter Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 5/25/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 131846 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Other - Net Metering Closed Date: 5/25/2016 9:42 AM

Rate Case Items - Opposed

First Name: dawn Last Name: hoffman Account Name: dawn hoffman

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Huachuca City State: AZ Zip Code: 85616

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Division: Electric

Inc.

### **Nature Of Opinion**

**Docket Number: E-01575A-15-0312** 

From: dawn Hoffman [mailto: <<< REDACTED >>> ]

Sent: Friday, May 20, 2016 8:58 AM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject new SSVEC fixed fees!

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

Regards,

dawn Hoffman

<<< REDACTED >>>

<<< REDACTED >>>

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Trish Meeter Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 5/25/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 131847 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Other - Net Metering Closed Date: 5/25/2016 9:48 AM

Rate Case Items - Opposed

First Name: Sandra Last Name: Welch Account Name: Sandra Welch

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Saint David State: AZ Zip Code: 85630

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Division: Electric

inc.

### **Nature Of Opinion**

**Docket Number:** E-01575A-15-0312

From: Sandra Welch [mailto: <<< REDACTED >>>

Sent: Friday, May 20, 2016 1:05 PM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox < Utilities Div@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject new SSVEC fixed fees!

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

Regards,

Sandra Welch

<<< REDACTED >>>

<<< REDACTED >>>

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Trish Meeter Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 5/25/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 131850 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 5/25/2016 9:55 AM

First Name: John Last Name: Comaduran Account Name: John Comaduran

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Benson State: AZ Zip Code: 85602

Home: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Division: Electric

Inc.

### **Nature Of Opinion**

**Docket Number:** E-01575A-15-0312

From: John COMADURAN [mailto <<< REDACTED >>>

Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2016 9:06 PM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject new SSVEC fixed fees!

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

Regards,

John COMADURAN

<<< REDACTED >>>

<<< REDACTED >>>

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Tom Davis Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 5/26/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 131872 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 5/26/2016 10:51 AM

First Name: Carol Last Name: Hall Account Name: Carol Hall

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Benson State: AZ Zip Code: 85602

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Division: Electric

Inc.

**Nature Of Opinion** 

This customer is strongly opposed to the rate increase. She states that she has to use oxygen three times a day and will not be able to afford it if the Company raises their rate as much as they are proposing. Also customer states that she was never notified of the proposed rate increase, she found out about it from a neighbor.

Type:

Date: Analyst: Submitted By:

5/26/2016 Tom Davis Telephone Investigation

Entered for the record and docketed. CLOSED

## Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Tom Davis Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 5/24/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 131840 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 5/24/2016 11:30 AM

First Name: PETITION Last Name: PETITION Account Name: PETITION

**PETITION** 

Address:

City: State: Zip Code:

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Division: Electric

Inc.

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: E-01575A-15-0312 Docket Position: Against

Received the following identical comments from 2 customers opposed to the proposed rate case.

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

Submitted by:

1. Kenneth Lenz

# Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

2. Mayra Moreno

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

5/24/2016

**Tom Davis** 

Telephone

Investigation

Entered for the record and docketed. CLOSED

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Al Amezcua Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 5/20/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 131789 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 5/20/2016 2:54 PM

First Name: Petition Last Name: Petition Account Name: Petition Petition

Address:

City: State: Zip Code:

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Division: Electric

Inc.

Lainie Keltner Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: E-01575A-15-0312 Docket Position: Against

Received the following identical comments from 11 customers opposed to the proposed rate case.

Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2016 3:17 PM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject new SSVEC fixed fees!

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

## Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

### Regards,

- 1. Christopher Hill, 2. Kathleen Splittsoesser, 3. Raenne Joned, 4. Marian Gierlach,
- 5. Kellie Maddux 6. David Parks, 7. Andrew Abernathy, 8. Rachel Huber,
- 9. Jared Royka, 10. Gerald Leroy, 11. Randal Wooten

Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Туре:
5/20/2016	Al Amezcua	Telephone	Investigation
Comments note	ed for the record and docket	ed. CLOSED.	

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Tom Davis Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 5/23/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 131821 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 5/23/2016 10:30 AM

First Name: PETITION Last Name: PETITION Account Name: PETITION

PETITION

Address:

City: State: Zip Code:

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Division: Electric

Inc.

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: E-01575A-15-0312 Docket Position: Against

Received the following identical comments from 24 customers opposed to the proposed rate case.

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

#### Submitted by:

- 1. Ronald Smith 2. Dianna Chase 3. Thomas Richardson 4. Bob Gesell 5. Terry Makdad
- 6. Dave Shipman 7. Delia Cortez 8. MICHELLE BRILLON 9. Carmen Weldon 10. Joy Reilly
- 11. Rita Lebel 12. John Muscato 13. Diana Neely 14. Carla Simpson 15. Janna Wu 16. James Kelley
- 17. Stacy Smith 18. Diana Comaduran 19. Kellie Fitzgerald 20. NATHANIEL MCCREADY
- 21. Joshua Watson 22. Dashia Starr 23. Larry Hubbard 24. Kristi Hannah

# Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

5/23/2016

Tom Davis

Other

Investigation

Entered for the record and docketed. CLOSED

## Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Deborah Reagan Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 5/18/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 131639 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 5/18/2016 11:03 AM

First Name: Susan Last Name: Gamble Account Name: Susan Gamble

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Sierra Vista State: AZ Zip Code: 85650

Cell: <<< REDACTED >>> Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Division: Electric

Inc.

### **Nature Of Opinion**

Docket Number: E-01575A-15-0312 Docket Position: Against

Honorable Commissioners, Let me thank you for allowing me to comment on Docket No. E-01575A-15-0312. Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. (SSVEC) is absolutely correct: their customers who own distributed generation systems (solar photovoltaic, wind, and other alternative energy generating systems) are not paying their 'fair share' of the maintenance costs for SSVEC's electric grid. Customers who generate excess electricity currently 'sell it back' to SSVEC for the full kilowatt hour (KwH) rate. It is at this point those customers are not paying their fair share of the maintenance costs, because SSVEC pays the full rate, and not a reduced rate that would encompass the maintenance costs. When a distributed generation (DG) owner/customer produces more electricity than they can use, that excess electricity flows from their inverter to a local, nearby neighborhood transformer, and directly to a neighbor who needs electricity (and not all the way back to the generating plant as SSVEC would have you and their non-DG customers believe). So how would one go about calculating the maintenance costs of the 'grid' from a residential inverter to their neighborhood transformer? In my particular situation (I am not a DG customer; I am seriously considering installing a PV solar system, but will probably not do so if this proposal is enacted), the distance from my electric meter to my neighborhood transformer is approximately 200 feet. To compare, the distance electricity travels from the generating plant near Willcox to my residence in Sierra Vista is an estimated 60 miles. Calculating for my situation, the distance any excess electricity would travel on SSVEC's grid to my neighborhood transformer is around 0.06 percent the distance electricity travels from the generating plant to my residence. I think anyone (except, apparently SSVEC) would agree that 0.06 percent is a rather negligible amount. Any time a DG customer does not produce electricity (e.g. PV solar customers do not generate electricity at night), these customers purchase electricity at the full rate, thus paying their 'fair share' of the maintenance costs for poles, wire, and infrastructure (to include reliability, reserves, frequency control, voltage control, and redundancy). SSVEC claims they are 'losing millions of dollars' due to electricity generated by two or three percent of their customers. In fact, they are losing out on the dollars generated by the electricity they cannot sell to their DG costumers, and they are not happy about this. I find this quite shameful for an organization that is, first of all, not for profit, and secondly purports to "be dedicated to community growth, quality of life, and a positive vision for the future." Any organization 'dedicated to ...a positive vision for the future' would not be discouraging alternate energy sources as well as asking to increase their rates to all customers under the guise of 'unfair cost sharing' and using scare tactics. Respectfully submitted, Susan Gamble

Investigation

Date: Analyst: Submitted By: Type:

5/18/2016 Deborah Reagan Telephone Investigation

# Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Comments entered for the record and filed with Docket Control.

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Tom Davis Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 5/16/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 131573 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 5/16/2016 10:10 AM

First Name: PETITION Last Name: PETITION Account Name: PETITION

**PETITION** 

Address:

City: State: Zip Code:

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Division: Electric

Inc.

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: E-01575A-15-0312 Docket Position: Against

Received the following identical comments from 3 customers opposed to the proposed rate case.

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

Submitted by:

- 1. Amanda Roll
- 2. Larry Dickey
- 3. Jason Zibart

# Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

5/16/2016

Tom Davis

Other

Investigation

Entered for the record and docketed. CLOSED

### **Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form**

Investigator: Al Amezcua

Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>

**Opinion Date: 5/11/2016** 

**Opinion Number: 2016 - 131516** 

Priority: Respond within 5 business days

**Opinion Codes:** 

Rate Case Items - Opposed

Closed Date: 5/11/2016 10:14 AM

First Name: PETITION

Last Name: PETITION

**Account Name: PETITION** 

PETITION

Address:

City:

State:

Zip Code:

**Division:** Electric

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative,

Lainie Keltner

Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>

Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

**Nature Of Opinion** 

**Docket Number: E-01575A-15-0312** 

**Docket Position:** Against

Received the following identical comments from 15 customers opposed to the proposed rate case.

Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 1:47 PM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox < <<< REDACTED >>>

Subject: Reject new SSVEC fixed fees!

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission.

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute. leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

## Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Regards,

1. Johnny Mendoza 2. Chele Brewer 3. Laurel Stangel 4. Charles Sparks

5. Carol Young 6. Sonja Rust 7. Leenita Taeza 8. Carol Wargaski

9. Joshua Nuernberger 10. Elizabeth Battey 11. William Roberts

12. Marla Dickson 13. Valentin Oros 14. Nancy Brewer 15. Harold Stott

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

5/11/2016

Al Amezcua

Telephone

Investigation

Comments noted for the record and docketed. CLOSED.

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Michael Buck Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 5/17/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 131604 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 5/17/2016 9:20 AM

First Name: Richard Last Name: Barr Account Name: Richard Barr

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Sierra Vista State: AZ Zip Code: 85650

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Division: Electric

Inc.

#### **Nature Of Opinion**

Docket Number: E-01575A-15-0312 Docket Position: Against

Here's my comment on SSVEC's proposal to put their small number (1250 out of 30,000) of solar customers into a separate class so they can bill them and penalize them for using renewable energy. If the government allows utility companies to attack renewable energy customers in this manner than we need to put separate high priced gas pumps in stations for people that purchased energy efficient cars. This whole situation is absurd. You have a Federal Government spending billions to promote renewable energy and States attacking the customers that use it. We can do better than this. We have to do better than this. Utility companies need to continue to spread their costs over their entire customer base and find ways to promote renewable energy, not attack those that use it.

Investigation

Date: Analyst: Submitted By: Type:

5/17/2016 Michael Buck Telephone Investigation

Entered into the record and docketed, Closed,

## Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Michael Buck Phone: 602-364-1065 Opinion Date: 5/18/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 131638 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 5/18/2016 10:27 AM

First Name: PETITION Last Name: PETITION Account Name: PETITION

PETITION

Address:

City: State: Zip Code:

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Division: Electric

Inc.

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: E-01575A-15-0312 Docket Position: Against

Received the following identical comments from 6 customers opposed to the proposed rate case -

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2016 6:11 AM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject new SSVEC fixed fees!

Dear Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal in docket E-01575A-15-0312.

The proposed fixed fees for solar customers are the highest we have ever seen proposed in the state. Raising fees 286% is a punitive and arbitrary attempt to block solar adoption. If passed, the solar industry would be forced out of the territory, threatening solar jobs and eliminating energy choice for all members of the cooperative. Additionally, this excessive fee would render current solar savings in the territory mute, leaving homeowners upside down in their investment.

Attempting to collect lost revenue from your ratepayers who are elderly, on fixed incomes, or low-income is just bad business. A 143% increased fixed fee on the residential class is also detrimental to these residents as it is to the solar customers.

I urge you to reject this proposal. Please protect the interests of the cooperative's members. These drastic fee increases are not in our best interest.

### **Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form**

**Margaret Clancy** 

Barbara Moskalonek

Barbara McKinnon

Carl

Jennifer Rush

Dan Hatch

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

5/18/2016

Michael Buck

Telephone

Investigation

Noted for the record and docketed. Closed.

### **Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form**

**Investigator:** Michael Buck

Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>

**Opinion Date: 5/18/2016** 

**Opinion Number: 2016 - 131640** 

Priority: Respond within 5 business days

**Opinion Codes:** 

Rate Case Items - Opposed

Closed Date: 5/18/2016 11:02 AM

First Name: Joanne

Last Name: Coppola

Account Name: Joanne Coppola

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Huachuca City

State: AZ

**Zip Code: 85616** 

Home: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative,

Inc.

**Division:** Electric

**Nature Of Opinion** 

**Docket Number: E-01575A-15-0312** 

**Docket Position:** Against

From: Joanne Coppola [ <<< REDACTED >>>

Sent: Friday, May 13, 2016 11:05 AM

To: Hearings Division < Hearing Div@azcc.gov>

Subject: Ssvec

I am against the rate change because we are supposed to be caretakers of our resources, both personal and environmental. The very small number/percentage of us who bought solar systems should not be penalized with an increase now or ever.

It is very reasonable for new solar customers to be under a new rate structure.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter,

Joanne Coppola

<<< REDACTED >>>

Huachuca City, Az. 85616

<<< REDACTED >>>

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

5/18/2016

Michael Buck

Telephone

Investigation

Entered into the record and docketed. Closed.

Opinion 131640 - Page 1 of 2

# Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form